

To: Conde, Rosaura[Conde.Rosaura@epa.gov]; Wooster, Richard[Wooster.Richard@epa.gov]; Monschein, Eric[Monschein.Eric@epa.gov]
Cc: Medrano, Selena[Medrano.Selena@epa.gov]; Hunt, Laura[Hunt.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Mon 7/17/2017 3:54:03 PM
Subject: RE: AR 2010-12-14-16 Deferred 303d List Actions - Letter to ADEQ 07.17.17 (003).docx

On the Keogh letter, I'd like to strike the sentence that says: "The EPA anticipates taking a final action on the 45 water body segment pollutant pairs as part of the 2018 list of impaired waters review process." We took similar language out of the decision document because it's contrary to our 303(d) guidance to expressly defer action into the next listing cycle.

I'm also not following the math of no action segments: "Review of the submitted draft list yielded fifty-two (52) potential water body pollutant pairs which require further investigation. Through conversations with Arkansas, it was determined that three (3) of these waters require no further action as EPA and Arkansas now agree on the attainment status of these waters; therefore, the EPA is deferring action on forty-five (45) potential water body pollutant pairs" – 52 minus 3 is 49. Am I missing something?

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Conde, Rosaura
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:25 AM
To: Wooster, Richard <Wooster.Richard@epa.gov>; Monschein, Eric <Monschein.Eric@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>
Cc: Medrano, Selena <Medrano.Selena@epa.gov>; Hunt, Laura <Hunt.Laura@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: AR 2010-12-14-16 Deferred 303d List Actions - Letter to ADEQ 07.17.17 (003).docx

Thanks Rich.

On the Pruitt- Hutchinson letter: The first paragraph says we are taking an action and doesn't specify the action, however the second paragraph makes it seem like it is a straight up approval. Is that what we are working with? It does not seem consistent with the B. Keogh letter.

On the B. Keogh letter: Looking at the numbers of waters deferred and in light of our last conversation, it seems the Illinois River waters dropped from the deferral list. Does that mean we are going down the 4b route, rather than deferral? What is the status of these waters? Also, there are several comments we made on the ROD that should be carried over to the language in this letter. Specifically:

- "potential water body pollutant pairs" – Remove "potential"
- "The hereby approved 2016 CWA 303(d) list is the current list for all CWA purposes as found in Enclosure 1." – In our edits to the ROD we were careful not to say this, since deferrals revert to the status in 2008. Look back at those comments to edit.

Rosaura Conde
EPA's Office of Wetlands, Oceans & Watersheds
Phone: 202-566-1514

From: Wooster, Richard
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:46 AM
To: Conde, Rosaura <Conde.Rosaura@epa.gov>; Monschein, Eric <Monschein.Eric@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>
Cc: Medrano, Selena <Medrano.Selena@epa.gov>; Hunt, Laura <Hunt.Laura@epa.gov>
Subject: AR 2010-12-14-16 Deferred 303d List Actions - Letter to ADEQ 07.17.17 (003).docx

Draft of Bill's cover letter to Becky Keogh.